UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Mohammad Tlili and Dina Irace :

Individually, and h/w :

1310 Amosland Road :

Prospect Park, PA 19076 : No. 10-CV-1747

/.

Mercury Insurance Company of Florida : 1901 Ulmerton Road :

Clearwater, FL 33762

and

Mercury General Corporation :

4484 Wilshire Blvd. : Los Angeles, CA 90010 :

and :

John Does 1-10 :

DEFENDANTS, MERCURY INSURANCE COMPANY OF FLORIDA AND MERCURY GENERAL CORPORATION'S, ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT WITH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND COUNTER-CLAIM

I. Answer to Preliminary Statement

- 1. Denied. The allegations in paragraph one (1) contain conclusions of law and therefore, no response is required. By way of further denial, Plaintiffs do not seek equitable, nor injunctive relief in their Complaint.
- 2. Denied. The allegations in paragraph two (2) contain conclusions of law and therefore, no response is required. It is specifically denied that Answering Defendants are liable to the Plaintiffs based on any theory of liability.
- 3. Denied. The allegations in paragraph three (3) contain conclusions of law and therefore, no response is required. It is specifically denied that the "discovery rule, equitable tolling/fraudulent concealment" are in any way relevant to the present litigation.

II. Answer to Jurisdiction and Venue

- 4. Denied. The allegations in paragraph four (4) contain conclusions of law and therefore, no response is required.
- 5. It is Admitted only that the underlying insurance contract at issue herein was executed in part, within the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. To the extent that this paragraph sets forth conclusions of law, no response is required.

III. Answer to Parties

- 6. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph six (6) and therefore, deny same and demand strict proof thereof at a Trial of this matter.
 - 7. Admitted.
 - 8. Admitted.
- 9. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph nine (9) and therefore, deny same and demand strict proof thereof at a Trial of this matter. Responding further, to the extent that the allegations in paragraph nine (9) contain conclusions of law, no response is required.

IV. Answer to Operative Facts

10. Denied. The allegations in paragraph ten (10) contain conclusions of law and therefore, no response is required. The allegations in paragraph twelve (12) are admitted only to the limited extent that Defendant, Mercury Insurance Company of Florida, Inc., issued a policy

of insurance to Plaintiff, Dina Irace. Said policy contained coverages that were contingent upon all policy terms, conditions, exclusions and limitations.

- 11. Ommitted in Complaint.
- 12. Ommitted in Complaint.
- 13. It is admitted only that Plaintiffs have referred to a copy of the standard terms applicable to the relevant First Mercury insurance policy, a document which speaks for itself as Plaintiffs' Exhibit "A". To the extent that the language quoted in this paragraph misconstrues the terms of the policy, is taken out of context, and not presented along with all other provisions of the policy relevant to the claims and defenses herein, it is denied.
- 14. Denied in part. Admitted in part. It is specifically denied that Plaintiffs,
 Mohammad Tlili and Dina Irace, individually or as husband and wife, at any time owned a 200
 Mercedes Benz S430. The allegations in paragraph thirteen (13) are admitted only to the extent that Plaintiff, Dina Irace, secured an insurance policy with Mercury Insurance Company of Florida, Inc. wherein a 2000 Mercedes Benz S430 was the listed vehicle.
- 15. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph fifteen (15), and therefore, deny same and demand strict proof thereof at a Trial of this matter.
- 16. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph sixteen (16), and therefore, deny same and demand strict proof thereof at a Trial of this matter.
- 17. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph seventeen (17), and therefore, deny same and demand strict proof thereof at a Trial of this matter.

- 17. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph seventeen (17), and therefore, deny same and demand strict proof thereof at a Trial of this matter.
 - 18. Denied.
- 19. Denied as stated. It is admitted only that the claims process was initiated at some point by Plaintiff, Dina Irace, after the subject vehicle was reported as stolen. It is denied that an "insurance claim was submitted" by Plaintiffs on the date alleged herein.
- 20. Denied as stated. The allegations in paragraph twenty (20) are admitted only to the extent that it is the understanding of Answering Defendants that the subject vehicle was recovered on September 18, 2008.
- 21. Denied as stated. The allegations in paragraph twenty one (21) are admitted only to the extent that certain components of the vehicle, such as the headlights and taillights were removed. It is admitted that Dina Irace filed a claim for damages to the subject vehicle.
- 22. Denied as stated. It is admitted that Plaintiff Dina Irace was insured by a First Mercury Policy and had paid premiums to maintain such coverage. It is denied that Plaintiff Irace's claim for the subject vehicle underlying the instant action was covered under the express terms of the policy.
- 23. Denied. The allegations in paragraph twenty two (23) are admitted only to the extent that Plaintiff, Dina Irace, submitted to an Examination Under Oath on March 31, 2009, in which she simply advised that she wished the opportunity to retain counsel prior to submitting to an Examination Under Oath and submitted to an Examination Under Oath with counsel on June 29, 2009.

- 24. Denied as stated. The allegations in paragraph twenty four (24) are admitted only to the extent that on October 29, 2009, Defendant, Mercury Insurance Company of Florida, Inc., denied the subject claim.
- 25. Denied as stated. The allegations in paragraph twenty five (25) are admitted only to the extent that on October 29, 2009, Defendant, Mercury Insurance Company of Florida, Inc., denied the subject claim.
- 26. Denied as stated. The allegations in paragraph twenty six (26) are admitted only to the extent that on October 29, 2009, Defendant, Mercury Insurance Company of Florida, Inc., denied the subject claim.

V. Answer to Causes of Action

ANSWER TO COUNT I BAD FAITH

- 27. Denied. The allegations in paragraph twenty-four (24) contain conclusions of law, and therefore, no response is required. However, it is specifically denied that Mercury Insurance Company's conduct constituted bad faith pursuant to 42 Pa. C.S.A. § 8371 et seq.
- 28. Denied. The allegations in paragraph twenty-five (25) contain conclusions of law, and therefore, no response is required. However, it is specifically denied that Answering Defendants engaged in any bad faith conduct; further, it is specifically denied that Answering Defendants:
 - a. Failed to accept coverage for Plaintiffs' claim when it knew or should have known that the claim was covered under and pursuant to the policy. On the contrary, Plaintiffs' claim was excluded under the clear and unambiguous terms of the policy and the law and was properly denied;
 - b. Refused to pay Plaintiffs' claim without conducting a reasonable investigation based upon all available information. On the contrary, Plaintiffs' claim was fully evaluated through a thorough investigation.

- c. Failed to adopt and implement reasonable standards for the prompt investigation of claims arising under Plaintiffs' insurance policy. On the contrary, any delay in investigation of the claim was due solely to the dilatory conduct of Plaintiffs.
- e. Failed to promptly provide a reasonable explanation of the basis in the insurance policy in relation to the facts or applicable law for denial of the Plaintiffs' claim. To the contrary, a detailed denial letter was forwarded to Plaintiff upon denial of the claim on October 29, 2009.
- h. Established and exhibited a pattern and practice of bad faith representation and/or conduct benefiting the interests of the Defendants to the detriment of the Plaintiffs.

ANSWER TO COUNT II BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 29. Denied. The allegations in paragraph twenty nine (29) contain conclusions of law and therefore, no response is required. By way of further response, it is denied that Plaintiff, Mohammad Tlili, was a party to any contract with Answering Defendants; it is denied that any contract existed other than the subject insurance policy and it is specifically denied that Answering Defendants breached any contract or in any way caused Plaintiffs' alleged injuries.
- 30. Denied. The allegations in paragraph thirty (30) contain conclusions of law and therefore, no response is required. However, it is specifically denied that Answering Defendants breached any duty owed to Plaintiffs or any contract with the Plaintiffs, or in any way caused Plaintiffs' alleged injuries.

VI. Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Answering Defendants, Mercury Insurance Company of Florida, Inc. and Mercury General Corporation, respectfully request judgment in their favor and against the Plaintiffs.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

31. The Plaintiffs' claims are barred and/or lessened by the applicable clauses of the contract of insurance entered into between the parties, including, but not limited to, exclusions, conditions, limitations and terms.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

32. Plaintiffs' claims may be limited and/or barred due to Plaintiffs' failure to mitigate damage.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

33. Plaintiffs' claims may be limited and/or barred due to Accord, Satisfaction, Laches or Estoppel.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

34. Plaintiffs' claims may be barred by the applicable statute of limitations.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

35. The damages claimed by Plaintiff are not reasonable and/or necessary, but instead excessive and unreasonable.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

36. Plaintiffs failed to comply with all conditions precedent to obtain coverage under the applicable policy of insurance.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

37. Plaintiffs failed to comply with the obligations under the applicable policy of insurance.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

38. Plaintiffs breached the applicable insurance policy and accordingly, Defendants have no duty herein under the policy.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

- 39. Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the material misrepresentations of the Plaintiffs and/or Plaintiffs' agents as to how the damage occurred and the specific items of damage. The material misrepresentations include, but are not limited to:
 - a. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to marital status;
 - b. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to ownership of the vehicle;
 - c. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to activities on the date of the loss;
 - d. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to how the vehicle was last moved;
 - e. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to residents in the household; and
 - f. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to drivers in the household.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

40. Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the material misrepresentations in the application of insurance.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

41. On the date of the loss, Plaintiffs' policy had a deductible.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

42. Defendants' liability is based on the policy of insurance, which is a contract between the parties and application of the legal rule so as to broaden Defendants' liability would be taking of property without due process of the law.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

43. Defendants' liability is based on the policy of insurance, which is a contract between the parties and application of the legal rule so as to broaden Defendants' liability as barred as a matter of equity.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

44. Plaintiffs are not entitled to recover attorneys fees, costs or any other special or exemplary damages in this matter since Defendants allege withholding of benefits at issue is made in good faith and based on reasonable cause.

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

45. Plaintiffs have no insurable interest in the subject vehicle.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

46. Defendants relied on advise of counsel.

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

47. Plaintiffs failed to cooperate, thus, all claims are barred.

EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

48. Said claims are excluded pursuant to the clear and unambiguous terms of the subject policy.

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests this Honorable Court to enter judgment in its favor and against Plaintiffs.

COUNTERCLAIM

FACTS

Application

- 49. On or about April 11, 2008, Plaintiff, Dina Irace, completed an insurance application. [See insurance application attached hereto as Exhibit "A."]¹
 - 50. The named insured is listed as Dina Marie Irace.
- 51. "Mohammad Tlili" with a date of birth of April 13, 1976 is listed as Dina Irace's "spouse."
- 52. The insured's vehicle is listed as a 2000 Mercedes Benz S 430 with a Vin Number of WDBNQ70J5YA023779.
- 53. The total number of vehicles owned or leased by all residents of the household, including the listed car and cars not covered by the policy, is noted to be "1."
 - 54. It was asserted that all vehicles were registered solely to the named insured.

Subject Vehicle

55. The subject vehicle is titled solely in the name of Mohammad Marouan Tlili.

¹ Defendants are attempting to obtain a more legible copy of the insurance application and upon receipt will provide same accordingly.

- The vehicle was never titled in the name of Dina Irace of her alleged husband, Marouan Safouan Tlili.
 - 57. There was only one key in existence for the subject Mercedes.
- 58. Upon purchase of the vehicle it was discovered that a new transmission was necessary.
- 59. According to the insured, a used transmission was installed in the vehicle by a friend of Plaintiff, Mohammad Tlili. (Believed to be Safouan Tlili).

Theft

- 60. On September 9, 2008, the vehicle was originally reported parked at the intersection of 3rd and Fitzwater Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and discovered missing at 3:30 p.m.
 - 61. The vehicle was reported stolen to the police at 6:22 p.m.
- 62. The vehicle was recovered on September 18, 2008 with missing headlights, taillights, and radio. The wheels and air bags were intact.
 - 63. The subject vehicle was equipped with an intrusion alarm.
- 64. The subject vehicle is equipped with a "driver authorization system (DAS), which is a "rolling code" transponder security system.
- The DAS system was not defeated and a key with the correct transponder value and infrared signal would have been necessary to start the vehicle.
- 66. There is no known way to defeat the subject vehicle's DAS system by traditional theft means.
- 67. Mohammad Marouan Tlili asserted that he parked the vehicle on September 9, 2008 between 2:00 p.m. and 2:30 p.m. "to kill time."

- 68. Mohammad Marouan Tlili later stated that he discovered his vehicle missing between 4:00 p.m. and 4:30 p.m.
- 69. Mohammad Marouan Tlili initially stated that his "girlfriend," Dina Irace, picked him up from the loss location.
- 70. Dina Irace stated that the only people in her household who drove were her mother and her husband, "Mohammad Tlili."
- 71. Dina Irace stated that she had been married to Mohammad Tlili since July 4, 2008.
- 72. Dina Irace stated that she drove a Chevrolet Impala, her mother drove a Toyota Sienna, and that the only one who drove the Mercedes was "Mohammad."
- 73. Dina Irace advised that on September 9, 2008 "Mohammad" told her that he was going into town to visit a friend on South Street.
- 74. "Mohammad" called her after a few hours and advised that the vehicle was missing.
- 75. On November 10, 2008, Mohammad Marouan Tlili stated that on September 9, 2008 he left his home between 9:30 a.m. and 9:45 a.m. to "drive around."
- 76. Mohammad Marouan Tlili claims to have parked at 3rd and Fitzwater Streets in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and walked around for two hours visiting a "friend" and returned to discover the vehicle missing.
- 77. Mohammad Marouan Tlili stated that he called the police at 2:00 p.m. and called "his wife" to pick him up.
- 78. Mohammad Marouan Tlili advised that he was married to Dina Irace for less than one year.

- 79. On March 30, 2009, Mohammad Marouan Tlili testified that he resides with his brother, Mohammad Safouan Tlili; his brother's wife, Plaintiff, Dina Irace; Dina Irace's mother, Margaret Mauer; and Dina Irace's three children.
- 80. Mohammad Marouan Tlili advised that at the time of the theft he and his brother lived in a "back room" and slept on the sofa.
- 81. At the time of the theft, Mohammad Marouan Tlili owned a property at 1232 Federal Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 82. Mohammad Marouan Tlili asserted that in addition to the Chevrolet driven by
 Dina Irace, the Toyota driven by Ms. Mauer, and his Mercedes, his brother, Mohammad Safouan
 Tlili, drove a "Mercury."
- 83. Mohammad Marouan Tlili testified that after purchasing the vehicle, he had the vehicle towed to Federal Street.
- 84. Mohammad Marouan Tlili testified that he arrived on South Street on September 9, 2008 at approximately 1:00 p.m., visited a "friend" who owned a jewelry store and after 1 ½ to 2 hours discovered the vehicle missing.
- 85. Mohammad Marouan Tlili testified that Dina Irace picked him up and that she arrived before the police.
- 86. Mohammad Marouan Tlili testified that Ms. Irace first drove him to the Federal Street property and then to their mutual home, where he remained for the night.
- 87. On June 29, 2009, Plaintiff, Dina Irace, testified that Mohammad Marouan Tlili did not leave home until "after lunch" and was going to "meet friends."
- 88. Between 3:00 p.m. and 4:00 p.m., Plaintiff, Dina Irace, received a call from Mohammad Marouan Tlili stating that he could not locate his vehicle.

- 89. Dina Irace noted that she picked up Mohammad Marouan Tlili and drove him straight home.
- 90. As part of the insurance company's investigation, it was requested that the insured provide the following documents:
 - Business and personal Federal income tax returns for the years 2007 and 2008;
 - Copies of any tow receipts relative to towing the vehicle from either the parking authority or the Amosland property;
 - Documentation relative to the installation of the transmission;
 - Documentation relative to prior insurance on the subject Mercedes;
 - The name and contact information of the mechanic who installed the subject transmission;
 - The name and contact information of the individual who owns the jewelry store on South Street;
 - A copy of Dina Irace's marriage license to Mohammad Safouan Tlili; and
 - Copies of bank statements of Dina Irace, Mohammad Marouan Tlili for August 2008 and September 2008;
 - 91. This request was made in writing on July 6, 2008.
- 92. On July 27, 2008, the Examination Under Oath transcripts were forwarded to counsel for Dina Irace and Mohammad Marouan Tlili and they were asked to review and verify same.
- 93. On August 18, 2008, another letter was mailed to Plaintiff's counsel requesting the documents and the signed transcripts.
- 94. On November 23, 2009, counsel forwarded the 2008 joint tax returns for Dina Irace and Mohammad Safouan Tlili, Dina Irace's 2006 tax return and Mohammad Marouan Tlili's 2006 tax return.

95. The signed Examination Under Oath transcripts, the complete tax return information, tow receipts, documentation relative to the transmission replacement, documentation relative to prior insurance, contact information for the mechanic who allegedly installed the transmission and contact information for the individual who owned the jewelry store on South Street were never provided.

Policy Language

96. The subject policy contains the following policy language:

* * *

Non-Owned Car—a car not:

- 1. *Owned* by, registered or leased in the name of or furnished or available for the regular or frequent use by:
 - a. You or your employer;
 - b. A resident or a resident's employer; or
 - c. A non-resident spouse or that spouse's employer.
 - d. Any relative or relative's employer.
- 2. Rented and used in an *insured's* employment or business.
- 3. *Operated* by, rented to, leased by or in the possession of an *insured* during any part of each of the last 21 or more consecutive days unless the car was rented under a written and signed rental agreement from a company authorized and licensed to rent *cars* and rented/leased for the sole benefit of an *insured* for a period of less than 30 days.

The use has to be within the scope of consent of the *owner* or person in lawful possession of it.

* * *

Insured – the persons described as insured's under each coverage.

Named Insured – the person identified as such in the declarations page.

* * *

Relative – means a person related to **you** by blood, marriage or adoption that lives with **you**. It includes **your** unmarried and unemancipated child away at school.

* * *

Resident or **Residing** – means a person who inhabits the same dwelling **you** inhabit.

* * *

Spouse – means your husband or wife living with you.

* * *

You or **Your** – means the named insured or named insureds shown on the declarations page.

* * *

Your Car – means a *car* or a vehicle described on the declaration page.

* * *

DECLARATIONS CONTINUED

We, the Mercury Insurance Company, agree to insure you according to the terms of this policy based:

- 1. On your payment of premium for the coverages *you* chose; and
- 2. In reliance on your statements in these *declarations page*. *You* agree, by acceptance of this policy that:
- 1. The statements in these declarations are *your* statements and are true:
- 2. We insure *you* on the basis *your* statements are true; and
- 3. This policy contains all of the agreements between *you* and *us* or any of our agents; and
- 4. Ownership. You are sole owner(s) of your car.

REPORTING A CLAIM - INSURED'S DUTIES

1. Notice to us of an Accident or Loss

An *insured* must give *us* or one of *our* agents written notice of the *accident* or *loss* as soon as reasonably possible. The notice must give *us*:

- a. Your name;
- b. The names and addresses of all persons involved and whether they are injured;
- c. The license plate numbers of the vehicles involved;
- d. The hour, date, place and facts of the *accident* or *loss*; and
- e. The names and addresses of witnesses.

* * *

3. Other Duties under the *Collision* or *Comprehensive* Coverages

When there is a *loss* or *accident*, *you* or the *owner* of the property also shall:

- a. Make an immediate prompt report to the police when the loss is the result of theft, fire, or larceny;
- b. Protect the damage vehicle. *We* will pay any reasonable expense incurred to do it;
- c. Let us inspect the damaged vehicle before it is repaired;
- d. Provide all records, receipts and invoices, or certified copies of them. We may make copies; and
- e. The *insured* also shall answer questions under oath when asked by anyone *we* name, as often as *we* reasonably ask, and sign copies of the answers.
- f. Cooperate with *us* in any matter concerning the claim or lawsuit.

* * *

5. Insured's Duty to Cooperate With Us

The *insured* must cooperate with *us* and, when asked, assist *us* in:

- a. Making settlements;
- b. Securing and giving evidence; and
- c. Attending and getting witnesses to attend hearings and trials.
- d. Providing any written proof of *loss* or *accident we* may reasonably require.
- e. Giving recorded statements and/or submitting to examinations under oath when requested by *us*. These recorded statements may be in-person or over the telephone at *our* sole option. If the statement or examination under oath is in-person, *we* shall choose the location of the statement. If there is more than one statement and/or examination under oath required from multiple persons each is required to submit to the statement and/or examination under oath separately, while not in the presence of any other person giving a statement and/or submitting to an examination under oath.
- f. When any examination (including examinations under oath) are performed at *our* request, or at *our* expense for coverage under this policy, no one except us and one person representing legal counsel that you have obtained shall have any right to:
 - 1. Attend or be present
 - 2. Videotape, photograph, or record by any means; or
 - 3. Have a court reporter present at the examination unless *we* consent. A copy of the transcript of the examination shall be provided to *you* if *you* request it in writing.

The *insured* shall not, except at his or her own cost voluntarily:

a. Make any payment or assume any obligation to others; or

b. Incur any expense, other than for first aid to others.

* * *

GENERAL EXCLUSIONS

Applicable to all coverage's except as shown below. Additional exclusions applicable to each coverage are listed in this policy under each coverage.

1. This policy, or any continuation, renewal with or without lapse, replacement or reinstatement with or without lapse, shall not apply;

* * *

c. When an *insured car* is used by anyone who *resided* with *you* at the time *you* applied for this the policy unless such *resident* was listed in *your* application for this policy.

* * *

e. If the information, in your application for this policy, includes a material misrepresentation and/or material omission.

* * *

h. For any *loss* or *accident* where there occurs any material misrepresentation, fraud, or concealment of material facts in the presentation of a claim.

* * *

- 2. Residents under age 14 will be specifically excluded from all coverage when they attain age 14. When the resident is licensed to drive a motor vehicle, you may request coverage in writing, through your agent and pay the appropriate premium. You agree to reimburse us for any payment made by us to a creditor because of a loss or accident arising from the use of a motor vehicle by any:
 - a. *Resident*(s) in your household at the time of the policy application.
 - b. **Resident**(s) in your household at the time of any renewal/reinstatement questionnaires by us.

- c. **Resident**(s) who are living in the household when we periodically request information on all household residents.
- d. Regular users of *your car*. A regular user is defined as a driver who operates your *car* more than 3 times per month and who is not listed as a driver on this policy.

* * *

SECTION IV—PHYSICAL DAMAGE

COMPREHENSIVE – COVERAGE B

- 1. Loss to your car or a non-owned car. We will pay for loss except loss by collision but only for the amount of each such loss in excess of the deductible amount. Breakage of glass, or loss caused by missiles, falling objects, fire, theft, larceny, explosion, earthquake, windstorm, hail, water, flood, malicious mischief or vandalism, riot or civil commotion is payable under this coverage. Loss due to hitting or being hit by a bird or an animal is payable under this coverage. We will pay for loss by collision to a non-owned car only when operated with the permission of the owner of the car by the named insured or a relative listed on the declarations page.
- 2. We will repay you for transportation costs if your car is stolen. We will pay up to \$20.00 per day to a total of \$600 for the period that begins 48 hours after you tell us of the theft. If your car is found and is repairable, the rental period ends when your car is repaired. If your car is not located or is located and deemed to be a total loss, the rental period ends when we pay for the loss.

* * *

EXCLUSIONS – WHEN COVERAGES B, C, H AND R DO NOT APPLY

There is no coverage for:

* * *

5. *Loss*:

c. Due to coercion, embezzlement, secretion or theft by;

* * *

(2) You, a relative, or a resident of your household.

* * *

Legal Action against us under Part IV - Physical Damage

We may not be sued unless there is full compliance with the terms of this policy....

* * *

CONDITIONS

POLICY CHANGES

This policy, your insurance application (which is made a part of this policy as if attached hereto), the *Declarations Page*, as amended, and endorsements to this policy issued by us contain all the agreements between *you* and *us*. Subject to the following, its terms may not be changed or waived except by and endorsement issued by *us*.

The premium for each *car* is based on information *we* have received from *you* or other sources. *You* agree to cooperate with *us* in determining if this information is correct and complete and *you* will notify *us* if it changes during the policy period, *we* may adjust *your* premium during the policy period, or take other appropriate action. To properly insure *your car*, *you* must promptly notify *us* when:

- 1. You change your address;
- 2. Any resident operators are added or deleted; or

3. You acquire an additional or replacement car.

Changes that may result in a premium adjustment are contained in our rates and rules. These include, but are not limited to, you or a relative obtaining a driver's license or operator's permit or changes in:

- 1. The number, type, or use classification of insured cars;
- 2. Operators using insured cars;
- 3. An operator's marital status;
- 4. The place of principal garaging of any insured cars;
- 5. Coverage, deductibles, or limits of liability; or
- 6. Rating territory or discount eligibility.

* * *

FRAUD OR MISREPRESENTATION

This policy was issued in reliance upon the information provided on your insurance application. We may, at our option, void this policy or deny coverage for the claim within the first 60 days after the policy has been issued, if you or an insured person:

- 1. Made incorrect statements or representations to *us* with regard to any material fact or circumstance;
- Concealed or misrepresented any material fact or circumstance; or
- 3. Engaged in fraudulent conduct at the time application was made or any subsequent questioners/forms/statements were signed.

If the policy has been in effect for 60 or more days we may deny coverage if:

- 1. There is intentional material misrepresentation or omission by an *Insured*;
- 2. The material misrepresentation or omission could not have been reasonably discovered in less than 60 days;
- 3. The material misrepresentation or omission would have rendered the risk unacceptable.

No person who engages in fraudulent conduct in connection with an *accident* or claim shall be entitled to receive any payment under this policy.

We may deny coverage for an accident or loss if you or an insured person have knowingly concealed or misrepresented any material fact or circumstance, or engaged in fraudulent conduct, in connection with the presentation or settlement of a claim. If you have concealed or misrepresented any material fact or circumstance, or engaged in fraudulent conduct, in connection with the presentation or settlement of a claim, we shall be entitled to reimbursement from you for all sums paid by us as a result of the accident or loss.

COUNT I

BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 97. Defendants, Mercury Insurance Company of Florida and Mercury General Corporation, incorporate paragraphs one through ninety six (1.-96.) as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 98. Plaintiff, Dina Irace, breached the Declarations of the policy by affirming that the subject vehicle was owned by her.
- 99. The vehicle was not owned by Dina Irace, but her husband's brother, Mohammad Marouan Tlili.
- 100. Plaintiff breached the conditions of the policy by failing to provide all of the records, receipts and invoices, failing to sign copies of her Examination Under Oath transcript, and failing to cooperate fully concerning the investigation of the claim.
- 101. The subject vehicle was owned by Mohammad Marouan Tlili, who is alleged to have resided with Dina Irace, but was not listed on the policy application, thus, Plaintiff breached the terms of the policy.
- 102. The insurance application includes multiple material misrepresentations and omissions, including, but not limited to, Dina Irace's marital status, the identify of the owner of

the subject vehicle, the number of drivers in the household, and the number of residents in the household. Thus, Dina Irace breached the terms of the subject policy.

- 103. Dina Irace and Mohammad Tlili misrepresented, omitted, and concealed material facts in the presentation of the claim, thus, breached the insurance contract.
- 104. The subject policy was breached as the subject vehicle was damaged by coercion, embezzlement, secretion, or theft by an insured or resident of the household.
- 105. Dina Irace and/or Mohammad Tlili filed suit without fully complying with the terms of the insurance policy. Accordingly, Plaintiffs breached the terms of the subject policy.
- 106. Dina Irace and/or Mohammad Tlili made intentional material misrepresentations and omissions that could not have been reasonably discovered within sixty days, thus breached the terms of the policy.
- 107. Dina Irace and/or Mohammad Tlili engaged in fraudulent conduct in connection with the subject claim, thus, breached the terms of the policy.

WHEREFORE, Mercury Insurance Company of Florida and Mercury General Corporation, respectfully request judgment in their favor and against the Plaintiffs.

COUNT II

18 Pa. C.S.A. § 4117

- 108. Defendants, Mercury Insurance Company of Florida and Mercury General Corporation, incorporate paragraphs one though one hundred seven (1.-107.) as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 109. Dina Irace and/or Mohammad Tlili knowingly and with the intent to deceive submitted claims containing false and misleading information, including, but not limited to, false and misleading information relative to:

- a. their activities on the date of the loss;
- b. the chronology of events on the date of the loss;
- c. marital status;
- d. people residing in the household; and
- e. circumstances surrounding how the vehicle was last moved.
- 110. Dina Irace and Mohammad Tlili knowingly and with intent to defraud filed an application for insurance containing false information including, but not limited to, false information concerning:
 - a. Marital status
 - b. Ownership of the subject vehicle;
 - c. Residents of the household; and
 - d. Drivers in the household.
- 111. Dina Irace and Mohammad Tlili's actions constitute violations of 18 Pa. C.S. §4117.
- 112. Dina Irace and Mohammad Tlili's actions constitute a pattern of fraud pursuant to 18 Pa. C.S. §4117.

WHEREFORE, Mercury Insurance Company of Florida and Mercury General Corporation, respectfully request judgment in their favor and against the Plaintiffs, Dina Irace and Mohammad Tlili, including compensatory damages, costs, attorneys fees, and treble damages.

COUNT III

Fraud

- 113. Defendants, Mercury Insurance Company of Florida and Mercury General Corporation, incorporate paragraphs one through one hundred twelve (1.-112.) as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 114. The Plaintiffs have misrepresented and concealed material facts including, but not limited to:
 - a. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to marital status;
 - b. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to ownership of the vehicle;
 - c. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to activities on the date of the loss;
 - d. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to how the vehicle was last moved;
 - e. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to residents in the household; and
 - f. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to drivers in the household.
- 115. Defendants, Mercury Insurance Company of Florida and Mercury General Corporation justifiably relied on the subject misrepresentations of Plaintiffs in writing the policy of insurance and investigating the subject loss.
- 116. As a result of Plaintiffs' fraudulent conduct, Defendants, Mercury Insurance Company and Mercury General Corporation, was obligated to incur investigative costs and attorneys' fees.
 - 117. Plaintiffs' conduct was outrageous, wanton and intentional.

WHEREFORE, Mercury Insurance Company of Florida and Mercury General Corporation, respectfully request judgment in their favor and against the Plaintiffs, Dina Irace and Mohammad Tlili, including costs, attorneys fees, and punitive damages.

COUNT IV

Negligent Misrepresentation

- 118. Defendants, Mercury Insurance Company of Florida and Mercury General Corporation, incorporate paragraphs one through one hundred seventeen (1.-117.) as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 119. The Plaintiffs have misrepresented and concealed material facts including, but not limited to:
 - a. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to marital status;
 - b. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to ownership of the vehicle;
 - c. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to activities on the date of the loss;
 - d. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to how the vehicle was last moved;
 - e. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to residents in the household; and
 - f. Misrepresentations and omissions relative to drivers in the household.
- 120. The misrepresentations and omissions were made under circumstances which the Plaintiffs ought to have known of the falsity of the representation.
- 121. Plaintiffs made the misrepresentations with an intent to induce Defendants to act upon those representations.
- 122. As a result of the negligent conduct, Mercury was caused to suffer damages including costs and attorneys fees.

WHEREFORE, Mercury Insurance Company of Florida and Mercury General Corporation, respectfully request judgment in their favor and against the Plaintiffs, Dina Irace and Mohammad Tlili, including compensatory damages, costs, and attorneys fees.

Respectfully submitted,

MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER, COLEMAN & GOGGIN

By: /s/ Dean H. Malik/DHM1415

Dean H. Malik, Esquire PA #80705 10 N. Main Street, 2nd Floor Doylestown, PA 18901 (267)-880-2032 dhmalik@mdwcg.com MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER, COLEMAN & GOGGIN

By: Dean H. Malik, Esquire

PA #80705

10 N. Main Street, 2nd Floor Doylestown, PA 18901

(267)-880-2032

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Mohammad Tlili and Dina Irace :

Individually, and h/w

1310 Amosland Road

Prospect Park, PA 19076 : No. 10-CV-1747

v.

Mercury Insurance Company of Florida

1901 Ulmerton Road :

Clearwater, FL 33762

and

Mercury General Corporation :

4484 Wilshire Blvd.

Los Angeles, CA 90010 and

John Does 1-10

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Dean H. Malik, Esquire, do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint with Affirmative Defenses and Counter-Claim was served upon all parties by first class mail on August 19, 2010 at the following addressees:

Matthew B. Weisberg, Esquire Prochniak Weisberg, P.C. 7 South Morton Avenue Morton, PA 19070

MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER, COLEMAN & GOGGIN

By: /s/ Dean H. Malik, Esquire

Dean H. Malik, Esquire

PA #80705

10 N. Main Street, 2nd Floor

Doylestown, PA 18901

(267)-880-2032

dhmalik@mdwcg.com

EXHIBIT A



Apr-01-09

Jenny Kretz

APR 1 7 2008

Mercury Insurance Company of Florida A member of the Mercury Insurance Group

Policy Number	(From		At	To		At
PAA9010734	04/11/2	008	2:01 AM	10/11/20	800	12:01 AM
		·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Producer Cade	Sub Code	Produce	Name		,,,,	Telephone
8P256 PA	002	North Eas	t Insurance	Outlet	*****	2153601180
Applicant's 55 #	Spouse's SS #	Payment Plan	Down F	ayment Type	Provin	Payment Amount
XXX-XXX-XXXXX	XXX-XXX-XXXXX	PA Full Pay	(Credit C		\$512.00	
STREET #1:	1310 Amosia				Annual Control	7,000
STREET #2;		144				
CITY / STATE / ZIP	Prospect Parl	k / PA / 19076 🗸				
					75 mil n	- Europe in the second
Are all cars garaged :				Yoc	lf "No" : section.	show in garaging addres
Does the Named Inst	red or any resident	own, rent or leas	e a repidor	100		
in another state?				No No	If "Yes",	, explain in comments.
HOME PHONE	Deet time to cal	LL BUS, F	HONE	BEST TIME TO CALL	D	
2676949950	8:00 am to 10:00 an	ก	•	8:00 am to 10:	OD OO	

SHOW BELOW ALL PERSONS INCLUDING DOMESTIC HELP WHO WILL DRIVE THE CARS LISTED IN THIS APPLICATION.

Dina marie Trifi	IRACE	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		·····	
DR. NO.	Dr. Licensk no.	LTC, STATE	DATE LICENSED	MARITAL STATUS	SEX
1	22799220	PA-Pennsylvania	04/1988	Married	Female /
	REL. TO APP.	VEH DRIVEN	FREQ	VEHICLE USE	ACC, PREV
06/02/1972	Insured	1	Greater than 50%	Work or School	N

If Licensed other than Penn	sylvania, provide proof of licer	ising for past 3 years.	•		,
DESCRIPTION		EMPLOYER/SCHOOL COMPLETE ADDRESS		YEARS EMPLOYED	
Other	Phile Airport	Prince Blvd Phila Pa 19104	Security		Marlo

Mohamed Tilli					
DR. NO.	dr. License no.	LIC STATE	DATE LICENSED	MARITAL STATUS	SEX
2	25778702	PA-Pennsylvania	04/1992	Married	Male
DATE OF BIRTH	REL. TO APP.	VEH DRIVEN	FREQ	VEHICLE USE	ACC. PREV
03/13/1976	Spouse:	1 /	Lase than 50%	Plossure Use	N

If Licensed other than Penns	vivania, provide proof of licer	nsing for past 3 years.		
OCCUPATION	EMPLOYER/SCHOOL			YEARS EMPLOYED
Other	unemplayed	un	un	5

12.	Has any driver ever been treated for epilapsy, diabetes or heart condition?	
2	These year deliants of near condition?	N
- -	Does any driver have any physical impairment or deformity?	N
	Has any driver had her/his driver license revoked, suspended, restricted or expired in the last 5 years?	N
•_	price any criver riso nervinis insurance cancelled, declined or renewal refused in the last 3 years?	N
•	rias any driver been convicted for a moving vipilation in the last 5 years?	
•	Ever been convicted for any alcohol related incident, hit and run, reckless driving, manulaughter or refusal to take intoximeter	N
	Has any driver ever been convicted of a criminal offense?	+.
\Box	Has any driver been involved in an accident in the last 5 years?	N
	Has any driver had a motor vahicle stolen in the last 10 years?	Ň
). I	Does applicant own, rent or lease a residence in another state?	N
<u></u>	artis:	N

Jenny Kretz APR 17 2008

Vehicles		ľ

2000 MERCEDES BENZ \$430 V					
VEH NO.	VIN	PD SYMBOL	BIPD SYMBOL	CAR TYPE	
1	wdbnq70j5ya023779 V	25	285	Private Passenger Vehicle	
CRE-LT-BU)	Mileage one wat	anniual Milleage	MULTI CAR (Y/N)	TERRITORY	
Work or School	3 (0	10000		41	
POL. TIPE	POINTS	ANTI-THEFT	ANTI-LOCK	PASSIVE RESTRAINT	
Standard (2) 🗸	0 🗸	Passive Device V	Four Wheels	Airbags for all Front Soot Passengers	
PERSISTENCY (0-5)	PTP SYMBOL				
P /	470 🗸	W=700 .	***************************************		

Jenny Kretz APR 17 2008 Mercury inbound hax Main Date: 4/1/2009 Time: 2:48:17 PM Job ID: 168710 Ext#: 7393 Page 13 of 42 pages

Case 2:10-cv-01747-JP Document 19 Filed 08/20/10 Page 34 of 42

Apr-01-09 02:31pm From- T-003 P 013/042 F-261

T-003 P 013/042 F-261

	Cam	per	or	Shall	ĺ
--	-----	-----	----	-------	---

List pictup trucks equipped with a compet or shall for which coverage is desired.

I	Car No. Type	Year	Description	· Serial Number	Cost New
Į,				MONE	

Special Equipment

Describe below an special adulpment if value in each car exceeds \$1,000 including radios, tape of CD players, amplifiers, equalizers and apeakers. DO NOT BIND IN EXCESS OF 15% OF CAR VALUE UP TO \$5,000 PER CAR.

Car No.	Description	Cost/Value	7
	N/	IONE	٦

Jenny Kretz

APR 17 2008

A viming (value /Application LA A9010/04

Apr-01-09

COMMENTS:

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS Total number of vehicles swand or leased by all rosidents of the household, including care listed. List vehicles not covered by this policy. Any vehicles used for transporting persons for hire, products or materials? No Does any car have existing damage, including broken glass? If yes, explain in comments section below. No its any car rebuilt, salvaged, modified, altered, specially built or customized? If yes, do not bind and explain in comments No section below. Are all validies registered solely to the Named Insured? 6. Doi: insured have auto insurance currently in force? Yes Name of current or previous insurer: Length of time insured: 3 years 0 months. Policy Bodily Injury Limits at least: \$15,000 Each Person, \$30,000 Each Accident Policy Tyne: Standard How long has applicant lived at present address? 5 years. If less than 2 years, show previous address(es) in comments section below. 11. Type of regionce: Private Home

> Jenny Kretz APR 1 7 2008

Page 15 of 16

s://www.accinstallini.com/PAAgentaWcb/lob/ps/application/whit/application

iviercury indound hax Main Date: 4/1/2009 Time: 2:48:17 PM Job ID: 168710 Ext#: 7393 Page 37 of 42 pages

Apr-01-09 02:37@maser2dn10-cv-01747-JP

Document 19

Filed 08/20/10 Page 39.047/122

F-261

amsylvama Application PAA9ULU/34

Fage 3 to 6

AN

MERCURY INSURANCE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

hims://overw.spentstation.com/PAAgentsWeb/tob/pa/application/print/appPrint/sp

beginning this walver. I am rejecting stacked known unlimited motories coverage under the policy for interest and members of the household under which the lights of coverage healthly would be the some of known motor vehicle insured under the policy. Instead, the lights of coverage that I am principle and the policy of the lights of the

Deliverant Demos Insured

2 : ;:

31

·FAUSA (2/1/04)

Date

4/1 1/2:008

02:39@aser2m40-cv-01747-JP Document 19 Filed 08/20/10 Pagg 41.06/42 F-261 Apr-01-09

OLO PIRKE MANAR

図001/007

As an elternative to paragraphs (1), (2), (3), and (4), a combination behalf, up to all least \$177,500 of behalfs in the aggregate or benefits payable up to thrue years from the least of the accident, whichever occurs first, subject to a limit on accidental death benefit of up to \$25,000 and a limit on summer of paragraphs of \$2,500, provided that nothing contained in this subsection shall be construed to limit, reduce, motify or change the provisions of Section 1715(d) (relating to availability of adequate limits). Unlinguised, underinaured and bodily injury jability coverage up to at least \$100,000 because of injury to one person in any one accident and up to at least \$200,000 because of injury to two or more persons in any one accident or, at the option of the insurer, up to at least \$300,000 is a single limit for these coverage, except for policies issued under the Assigned Rick Plan. Also, at least \$2,000 for demand to properly of others at any one accident.

"accident.

illipially, insurers may offer higher benefit levels than those entitlerated above as will as Lional benefits. However, an insured may clear to purchase lower benefit levels than more inerated above.

in signature on this notice or your payment of any renewal premition evidences your actual disclosure actual disclosure actual disclosure actual disclosure and understanding of the availability of these Density and Ilmits as wall as the "" agents and Ilmits you have selected.

gou have questions or you do not undentioned all of the various options available to you, officiact us.

ou do not understand any of the provisions contained in this notice, contact un before you

historic of Named Insured

U40(08/04/04)

qaj. inir qoqqining kan hanlarahan kasur...

Apr-01-09

D5 (09/06/04)